

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/407,126 09/27/1999		09/27/1999	ROBERT W. BOSSEMEYER JR.	8285/314	2323
757	7590	07/28/2006		EXAMINER	
BRINKS H	OFER G	ILSON & LIONE	BORISSOV, IGOR N		
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
cincrico,		•		3639	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/407,126	BOSSEMEYER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Igor Borissov	3639					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Reply	VIO CET TO EVOIDE A MONTH	(C) OF THETY (20) FAVO					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 M	fav 2006						
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-12,14-19 and 21-30</u> is/are pend	ding in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-12,14-19 and 21-30</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
							Copies of the certified copies of the prio
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachmont/s)							
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	ate IGOR N. BORISSOV						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Simple Statement Simpl							
	•						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Amendment received on 05/05/2006 is acknowledged and entered. Claims 4, 13 and 20 have previously been canceled. Claims 1, 9, 10 and 17 have been amended. New claims 27-30 have been added. Claims 1-3, 6-12,14-19 and 21-30 are currently pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 27-30 includes the following phrase: "... the first party requesting <u>or</u> denying ...", which is confusing. It is not clear what method step is actually claimed: the requesting, or denying.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skip in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-12,14-19 and 21-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alcott (US 6,324,273) in view of Majmudar et al. (US 4,897,866) (Majmudar).

Independent Claims

Application/Control Number: 09/407,126

Art Unit: 3639

As per claims 1, 10 and 17, Alcott teaches a computer-implemented method and system for ordering a telecommunication service, comprising:

determining, in accordance with an inquiry of the originating first party, an availability of a telecommunication feature for the party of a telecommunication network (C. 3, L. 62 - C. 4, L. 4);

identifying the party of the telecommunication network and the telecommunication feature unavailable to the party in accordance with said inquiry (C. 3, L. 48 - 53; C. 3, L. 62 - C. 4, L. 4);

providing availability data which indicates an availability of the telecommunication feature to a portion of the telecommunication network which serves the party (C. 3, L. 62 - C. 4, L. 4);

determining that the telecommunication feature has become available to the party based on the first data structure and the availability data (C. 4, L. 15-25). As per "storing" feature, Alcott teaches the computer-implemented method, wherein the steps of: "identifying the party of the telecommunication network and the telecommunication feature unavailable to the first party" are performed by the order processor (44), thereby indicating storing step (C. 3, L. 48-53).

Alcott does not explicitly teach that inputting said availability data, which indicates availability of the telecommunication feature to a portion of the telecommunication network serving the party, is occurring after completion of the first transaction; and after upgrading the portion of the telecommunication network which serves the party. Also, while Alcott teaches informing the first party that the first telecommunication feature is available (creating an account and providing a billing record to the originating party)(C. 4, L. 25-30), wherein said "informing" occurs in response to the inquiring in the first transaction, Alcott does not specifically teach that said "informing" step includes informing the first party that the first telecommunication feature has become available, wherein said "informing" occurs in response to the upgrading the portion of the communication network and the processing of the first data structure and the availability data.

Application/Control Number: 09/407,126

Art Unit: 3639

Majmudar teaches a method and system for telecommunication arrangement, wherein, after a subscriber selects (inquires) a desired specific telecommunication feature, the inquiry is processed, and appropriate software modules are assembled (the system is *upgraded*) to enable the requested feature. After this event (indicates *after completion of the first transaction*), if user lifts a handset to originate a call, the terminal will display the requested new feature (indicates *informing the first party that the first telecommunication feature has become available, wherein said "informing" occurs in response to the upgrading the portion of the communication network and the processing of the first data structure and the availability data)* (C. 6, L. 1-17).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Alcott to include that inputting said availability data, which indicates an availability of the telecommunication feature, is occurring after completion of the first transaction; upgrading the network which serves the first party to provide the requested by the first party the telecommunication feature; and informing the first party that the first telecommunication feature has become available, as taught by Majmudar, because it would advantageously allow to accommodate various needs subscribers may have; and to improve customer service by allowing subscribers to inquire for the desired feature only once.

As per claim 9, Alcott teaches:

determining an availability of a telecommunication feature for the originating first party of a telecommunication network in accordance with an inquiry of a party (C. 3, L. 62 - C. 4, L. 4);

storing a first data structure which identifies the party of the telecommunication network and the telecommunication feature unavailable to the party in accordance with said inquiry (C. 3, L. 48-53; C. 3, L. 62 - C. 4, L. 4);

providing availability data which indicates an availability of the telecommunication feature to a portion of the telecommunication network which serves the party (C. 3, L. 62 - C. 4, L. 4);

determining that the telecommunication feature has become available to the party based on the first data structure and the availability data (C. 4, L. 15-25). As per "storing" feature, Alcott teaches the computer-implemented method, wherein the steps of "identifying the party of the telecommunication network and the telecommunication feature unavailable to the first party" are performed by the order processor (44), thereby obviously indicating storing step (C. 3, L. 48-53).

Alcott does not explicitly teach that inputting said availability data, which indicates availability of the telecommunication feature to a portion of the telecommunication network serving the party, is occurring after completion of the first transaction; and after upgrading the portion of the telecommunication network which serves the party. Also, while Alcott teaches informing the first party that the first telecommunication feature is available (creating an account and providing a billing record to the originating party)(C. 4, L. 25-30), wherein said "informing" occurs in response to the inquiring in the first transaction, Alcott does not specifically teach that said "informing" step includes informing the first party that the first telecommunication feature has become available, wherein said "informing" occurs in response to the upgrading the portion of the communication network and the processing of the first data structure and the availability data.

Majmudar teaches a method and system for telecommunication arrangement, wherein, after a subscriber selects (inquires) a desired specific telecommunication feature, the inquiry is processed, and appropriate software modules are assembled (the system is *upgraded*) to enable the requested feature. After this event (indicates *after completion of the first transaction*), if user lifts a handset to originate a call, the terminal will display the requested new feature (indicates *informing the first party that the first telecommunication feature has become available, wherein said "informing" occurs in response to the upgrading the portion of the communication network and the processing of the first data structure and the availability data)* (C. 6, L. 1-17).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Alcott to include that inputting said availability data,

which indicates an availability of the telecommunication feature, is occurring after completion of the first transaction; upgrading the network which serves the first party to provide the requested by the first party the telecommunication feature; and informing the first party that the first telecommunication feature has become available, as taught by Majmudar, because it would advantageously allow to accommodate various needs subscribers may have; and to improve customer service by allowing subscribers to inquire for the desired feature only once.

Also, Alcott and Majmudar does not specifically teach that said originating party includes a *first*, a *second* and a *third* party, and that said inquired feature includes a *first*, a *second* and a *third* feature. However, the method steps disclosed in Alcott and Majmudar indicate continuity of the disclosed method, because it is not feasible to implement said system for one customer only and only for one feature. Furthermore, the method steps, disclosed in Alcott and Majmudar would be performed the same regardless how many parties make inquiries for a service, and how many telecommunication features are available for the service. Thereby, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Alcott and Majmudar to include that said originating party includes a *first*, a *second* and a *third* party, and that said inquired feature includes a *first*, a *second* and a *third* feature, because it would advantageously allow to accommodate various needs of all subscribers.

Dependent Claims

Furthermore, Alcott teaches:

As per claims 2, 11 and 18,

identifying another party of the telecommunication network and another a telecommunication feature unavailable to another party; determining an availability of the telecommunication feature for another party of a telecommunication network; and determining that the telecommunication feature unavailable to another party (C. 3, L. 48 -53; C. 3, L. 62 - C. 4, L. 4). As per "storing" feature, Alcott teaches the computer-implemented method, wherein the steps of "identifying the party of the

Application/Control Number: 09/407,126 Page 7

Art Unit: 3639

telecommunication network and the telecommunication feature unavailable to the first party" are performed by the order processor (44), thereby indicating storing step (C. 3, L. 48-53).

As per claims 3, 12 and 19, identifying another party of the telecommunication network and another telecommunication feature unavailable to another party; and determining that another telecommunication feature unavailable to another party (C. 3, L. 48 -53; C. 3, L. 62 - C. 4, L. 4). As per "storing" feature, Alcott teaches the computer-implemented method, wherein the steps of "identifying the party of the telecommunication network and the telecommunication feature unavailable to the first party" are performed by the order processor (44), thereby indicating storing step (C. 3, L. 48-53). As to *second* party and *first* telecommunication feature, the method steps, disclosed in Alcott and Majmudar would be performed the same regardless how many parties make inquiries for a service, and how many telecommunication features are available for the service.

As per claim 5, said method and system, comprising: prior to inputting the availability data, receiving a call from the party, and informing in the call that the first telecommunication feature is unavailable to the party (C. 1, L. 11-33; C. 3, L. 41 - C. 4, L. 4).

As per claims 6, 14 and 21, said method and system, wherein the first telecommunication feature comprises a telecommunication service (C. 1, L. 6-7).

As per claims 7, 15 and 22, said method and system, wherein the first telecommunication feature comprises a telecommunication product (C. 1, L. 6-7).

As per claims 8, 16 and 23, said method and system, wherein the telecommunication network comprises a telephone network (C. 1, L. 62 - C. 2, L. 12).

As per claims 24-30, See reasoning applied to the independent claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 4/6/2005 have been fully considered but are moot in view of new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Igor Borissov whose telephone number is 571-272-6801. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on 571-272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

IB

7/23/2006

IGOR N. BORISSOV PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8